

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,805	02/03/2004	Keishi Tamura	1309.43490X00	9553
24956	7590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			PATEL, HETUL B	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		2186	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

19769,305 APPLICATION NO.1

APPLICATION NO./ FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
CONTROL NO. PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20060629

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on June 20, 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following matter(s): Since the amendment(s) filed on June 20, 2006 raise new issues that would require broadening the search field (for example, the newly added limitation of having mapping information which indicates the relations between first logical unit(s) and intermediate logical device(s) requires broadening the search field to 711/202,206), this amendment will be treated as an improper reply. See MPEP § 708.02(VIII)(C) (under the special examining procedures). Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

During phone conversation with Attorney, Carl Brundidge, Attorney confirmed that due to typographical error, the IDS submitted on 03/30/2006 and 06/20/2006 contains the wrong application number 10/649,635 instead of 10/769,805. Attorney gave authorization to Examiner for to correct the application number in both 1449 from 10/649,635 to 10/769,805.

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/30/2006 and 06/20/2006 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner and placed in file.

STEPHEN C. ELMORE PRIMARY EXAMINER